REMARKS

The present Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated August 22,

2005 in reference to the above-identified application. The Examiner has set a

shortened statutory period for response to this action to expire three (3) months from

the mailing date of the communication, making the present Amendment due by

November 22, 2005.

In that Office Action, claims 1-8 and 10-39 were pending. Of these, applicant

notes with appreciation the allowance of claims 12-30, 38 and 39. Moreover,

applicant notes with appreciation the Examiner's indication that claims 2, 3, 11, 22

and 34 contain allowable subject matter.

Of the remaining claims, claims 1, 4, 5, 10 and 31 were rejected as

anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,000,780 to Tokunaga under 35 U.S.C. §102(b).

Claims 6 and 35-37 were rejected as obvious over Tokunaga. Claims 7, 8, 32 and

33 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over Takunaga in view of

Muhmel et al. (DE 197 53 956).

The Tokunaga reference discloses a fragrance emitting metal which may be

incorporated into an accessory, such as a necklace or other jewelry, adapted to be

worn by a person. Specifically, Tokunaga is directed to a method of producing the

fragrance emitting metal. However, with respect to an article jewelry, Tokunaga

teaches a necklace that includes a loop to which an annular setting is secured. A

disc-shaped piece of sintered metal made according to the disclosed method is

mounted within the annular ring generally in the plane of the annular setting. The

piece of metal has a lower surface that in planar with the lower edge of the annular

ring and an upper surface that is close to, but not quite in the plane of the upper

edge of the annular ring (See Figure 2). The piece of metal is adapted to have a

Page 9 of 13 Amendment SN: 10/050,596 fragrance diffused therein, and it is disclosed that the purpose is to avoid allergic

reactions of a person to perfume and the like. However, due to this configuration

wherein the lower surface of the piece of metal is co-planar with the lower edge of

the annular ring, the lower surface of the piece of fragrance carrying metal could

actually contact the skin of the wearer. Even such limited contact could still result in

an allergic reaction.

The Examiner has also rejected independent method claim 31 and its

dependant claims 32, 33 and 35-37 over the Tokunaga reference. While Tokunaga

does disclose that the piece of metal can be infiltrated with perfume or other

fragrance, there is simply no teaching in Tokunaga of how to remove the fragrance

so that the accessory can be reused with a different fragrance.

The piece of jewelry claimed in independent claim 1 includes a securement

member adapted to be releasably secured to a portion of a person's body or

clothing. A setting supported by said securement member. A piece of porous

having sufficient porosity to permit penetration thereof by the carrier liquid and the

fragrance emitting substance is affixed to the setting. This piece of porous material

has a top surface and a bottom surface opposite said top surface. The piece is

mounted so that such that ambient air can flow about at least a portion of both the

top and bottom surfaces.

By this amendment, applicant has amended claim 1 to recite that the setting

includes a base and that the piece of porous material piece of jewelry is affixed to

the base of the setting so that the piece of porous material is in spaced-apart relation

to the base and at a orientation relative to said securement member such that

ambient air can flow between the base and the piece of porous material.

Page 10 of 13 Amendment SN: 10/050.596 This structure is not found in the Tokunaga reference. It has the advantage of

reducing the likelihood of unwanted contact between the perfume carrying piece of

porous material and the skin of the wearer. While it is known to mount pieces of

iewelry in spaced relation to the base of a setting, it would not be obvious to

incorporate such structure with Tokunaga since Tokunaga does not recognize this

problem associated with the structure disclosed therein or the value of having

ambient air circulate over portions of both surfaces. Accordingly, to combine

Tokunaga with such setting would be hindsight based on the teaching of the present

application.

As to independent method claim 31, Tokunaga simply does not teach the step

of removing the scent of a fragrance from the sintered metal disclosed therein by any

processing step. Applicant has developed this step so that his piece of jewelry can

be reused with either the same fragrance or a different fragrance. This step is not

found or inherent in Tokunaga, and, since this is a recited step in applicant's method,

a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) is improper.

Moreover, Tokunaga simply does not disclose any reuse of the necklace with

the piece of sintered metal. Tokunaga may well intend that the sintered metal

receive the only one type of fragrance. Therefore, there would be diminished need

to remove a prior scent so that the user could, if desired, use a different fragrance for

another occasion. Tokunaga is silent as to this issue.

Nonetheless, applicant has amended claim 31 to recite that the removal of the

scent is accomplished by washing the piece of porous material in an alcohol to even

more clearly distinguish his method from any disclosed in Tokunaga. Accordingly,

claim 35 has been canceled.

Page 11 of 13 Amendment In addition, while preparing this response, applicant noted an antecedent

issue in paragraph (c) relative to "the carrier liquid". Therefore, applicant has

amended paragraph (a) to correct this minor matter and not for purposes of

distinguishing any prior art.

Based on the, Applicant submits that claims 1 and 31 are allowable over the

applied references. Likewise, their respective dependent claims should be allowable.

No additional claims fees are believed to be payable upon the Amendment.

However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the

required fees, or to credit any overpayment, to deposit account number 13-1940.

Based on the foregoing, Applicant submits that the present application is in

complete condition for allowance, and action to that end is courteously solicited. If

any issues remain to be resolved prior to the granting of this application, the

Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney for the Applicant at the

telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

TIMOTHY J. MARTIN, P.C.

Timothy J. Martin, #28,640

Michael R. Henson, #39,222

John W. Carpenter, #57,830 9250 West 5th Avenue, Suite 200

Lakewood, Colorado 80226

(303) 232-3388

Page 12 of 13 Amendment SN: 10/050,596 November 22, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that the foregoing **AMENDMENT** (13 pages) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Non Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 200 day of November, 2005.

Marcie F. King

Page 13 of 13 Amendment SN: 10/050,596 November 22, 2005